1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO			
2	EASTERN DIVISION			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 1:21mj3 Cleveland, Ohio	115		
4	Plaintiff, April 6, 2021			
5	vs.			
6	JOSHUA GLOWACKI,			
7	Defendant.			
8	MDANGCDIDM OF DDOCEEDINGS			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. PARKER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
11	PRELIMINARY HEARING AND DETENTION HEARING HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE			
12	HELD VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE			
13	APPEARANCES:			
14	AFFEARANCES.			
15	For the Government: Office of the U.S. At Northern District of	_		
16	By: Michael A. Sulli Suite 400			
17	801 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 4411			
18	(216) 622-3977 michael.a.sullivan@us			
19	michael.a.Sullivangus	aoj.gov		
20	For the Defendant: Friedman & Nemecek			
21	For the Defendant: Friedman & Nemecek By: Eric C. Nemecek, Suite 650	Esq.		
22	1360 East Ninth Stree Cleveland, Ohio 4411			
23	(216) 928-7700 ecn@fanlegal.com	-		
24	echeraniegar.com			
25				

	2			
1				
2				
3	Court Reporter: Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR			
4	United States District Courthouse Room 568 2 South Main Street			
5	Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6022			
6	(330) 232 0022			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography from a digital audio recording; transcript produced by			
16	computer-aided transcription.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022			

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE CLERK: The case before the court is 21m;3115, 4 United States of America versus Joshua Glowacki. 5 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 6 Counsel, if you would, please, indicate 7 appearances for today's record. 8 MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, Judge. On behalf of 9 the United States, Michael A. Sullivan. 10 Also present on the call today is Special Agent 11 Monica Hantz from the FBI. 12 THE COURT: How do you spell Agent Hantz's last 13 name? 14 MR. SULLIVAN: H-a-n-t-z. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 MR. NEMECEK: Good morning, Your Honor. Eric 17 Nemecek on behalf of the defendant, Joshua Glowacki. 18 THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. 19 Good morning, Ms. Hantz. 20 Good morning, Mr. Glowacki. "Glowacki," I should 21 say. 22 SPECIAL AGENT HANTZ: Good morning. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Glowacki, are you able to hear 25 what we are saying so far? LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: If you do run into any issues with 3 your video or audio connections, please find a way to signal 4 that to us. We will stop the hearing immediately if that 5 It's essential that you be able to fully 6 participate. 7 Do you understand what I'm saying? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. We also have participating 10 today our Pretrial Services Officer Travis Jennings. 11 Officer Jennings has prepared a report of pretrial 12 services. 13 Have counsel each had an opportunity to review 14 that report? 15 MR. SULLIVAN: I have, Judge. Thank you. 16 MR. NEMECEK: Judge, I have -- I have not received 17 the report. I wasn't present at the initial appearance last 18 week and I don't believe it was forwarded over as of yet. I 19 can take a look at it. If someone would like to send it to 20 me quickly, I can take a look at that. 21 THE COURT: Jaclyn will send you a copy now. 22 In a moment I will ask you whether your nonreceipt 23 of that report up to this moment in any way affects your 24 desire to go forward today. It's essential that the defense 25 be fully prepared, so if you feel like you need more time to

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR

(330) 252-6022

review what's in that report, I will give you whatever time you need.

MR. NEMECEK: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. We are on the record today for a preliminary hearing per the defendant's request on the charge in the complaint that's been filed in this action.

The preliminary hearing will be conducted in accordance with Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The purpose of that hearing is to simply allow the court to determine whether there is probable cause to support the charges in the complaint.

Mr. Nemecek, will you, the defense, be going forward with the preliminary hearing?

MR. NEMECEK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We are also on the record for a hearing on the government's motion for detention. That hearing will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the United States Bail Reform Act.

The purpose of that hearing is to allow the court to determine whether there are any conditions or combination of conditions that could be put into a bond order that would reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant at future proceedings and that would reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community in the event Mr. Glowacki

were to be released on bond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As we begin, I am going to ask Mr. Sullivan to state for the record what the charge or charges are in the complaint, and also to state the associated penalties.

MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, Judge. The complaint charges Mr. Glowacki with one count of receipt/distribution of visual depictions of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct. That's in violation of 18 U.S. Code Section 2252(a)(2).

That normally carries a penalty of up to 20 years with a minimum mandatory term of 5 years. Based on Mr. Glowacki's prior conviction, he may be subject to the increased penalties of the minimum mandatory 15 years and a maximum of 40 years.

It also carries up to a \$250,000 fine and up to lifetime supervised release, with a minimum term of five years' supervised release.

In addition, there's a \$100 special assessment, and then the \$5,000 special assessment pursuant to the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Sullivan. And, Mr. Glowacki, you previously did receive a copy of the complaint with the attached affidavit; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, Your Honor.

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review those 2 with Attorney Nemecek? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Not with the attorney, sir. 4 haven't been able to speak with him for a while now. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Nemecek, do you need time to meet 6 with your client to review the content of the pretrial 7 services report and to discuss the contents of the complaint 8 and otherwise prepare for today's hearing? 9 MR. NEMECEK: I don't believe so, Your Honor. 10 Mr. Glowacki, when he was initially detained, he was, I 11 think, placed on some sort of preventative watch, which 12 meant that I wasn't able to reach him for a couple days. 13 But I don't believe that -- that's going to impact 14 our ability to go forward today. 15 The same thing with the presentence -- or the 16 pretrial services report. I had a chance to review that 17 just now, and I don't believe additional time would be 18 needed in order to go forward today. 19 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Glowacki, please do 20 understand, sir, that you do have a constitutional right to 21 be represented by counsel at every stage of the proceedings. 22 It's my understanding that you have retained the services of 23 Attorney Nemecek and that he is here today serving as your 24 legal counsel. 25 First, do you understand your right to an

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 attorney? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And do you understand that Mr. Nemecek 4 is here today serving as your lawyer? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: As we go through this hearing today, 7 you are entitled to speak to counsel whenever you feel the 8 need to do that. And because we are operating in a Zoom 9 environment, we can put you in a breakout room where you and 10 your lawyer could speak privately. 11 So if you feel the need to speak to counsel at any 12 point during the hearing, all you need to do is tell me that 13 and we will take a break so that you can do so. 14 Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you feel the need to speak to your 17 lawyer now as we begin? 18 THE DEFENDANT: It might be helpful, Your Honor, 19 just to cover some things. 20 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a brief break 21 to allow the defense to do that, and then we'll come back on 22 the record as soon as Mr. Nemecek signals that he is done 23 with that session. 24 (Recess had.) 25 THE COURT: We can go back on the record. LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 Mr. Glowacki and counsel, you've had an 2 opportunity to confer privately. Are the defendants -- or 3 is the defense, I should say, ready to proceed with today's 4 hearings? 5 MR. NEMECEK: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. We are using 7 videoconferencing for this procedure today. Is there any 8 objection to the use of video from the defense? 9 MR. NEMECEK: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Glowacki, can you confirm that you 11 have no objection to appearing for today's hearings by way 12 of video? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I have no objection, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 Let me cover a few more background things. This 16 is a hearing at which the government may call witnesses. 17 The defense has the right to cross-examine witnesses. It 18 also has the right to present evidence. 19 Mr. Glowacki, as a part of that evidence, you can 20 be called to testify, and you have the right to do that. 21 But it's important, however, that you cannot be required to 22 testify, because you do have the right to remain silent. 23 And, as I mentioned, you have the right to consult with your 24 attorney at any time. 25 The rules of evidence that preclude the court's LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

receipt of hearsay evidence do not apply in a hearing like this.

Both sides can proceed in whole or in part by way of proffer, which is a description or providing a description of the evidence rather than calling the actual witnesses and submitting documents and so forth.

If -- the evidence and examinations today will be limited to the issues of probable cause and detention. The court is not in a position to entertain any motions to suppress evidence, nor can I consider any argument that evidence was obtained unlawfully.

We'll proceed in the following way: The government will first present any and all evidence it has in support of both the probable cause issue and the issue of detention, and then the defense will present its evidence.

After that, the court will hear arguments from counsel if they wish to provide them.

Because of the nature of the charge in this case, there is a presumption for detention. So let me explain that.

What that means, Mr. Glowacki, is that Congress, in passing the Bail Reform Act, has determined that under certain kinds of cases, and this is one of them, there is a presumption that no condition or combinations of conditions would reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community, or would reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant.

The defendant has a right to rebut that presumption. In order to do so, you must present some evidence to establish that bond conditions could indeed reasonably assure your appearance at future proceedings and reasonably assure the safety of the community.

The fact that there's a presumption for detention does not in any way affect the fact that you are presumed innocent of the charge that's been filed against you in this case.

And the defense -- the government, rather, retains the overall burden to prove by clear and convincing evidence that bond conditions cannot reasonably assure the safety of the community or can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that bond conditions will not reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant at future proceedings.

Now, with those things having been said, we are now ready to proceed.

Mr. Sullivan, what evidence would you like to submit on behalf of the United States, both on the issues of probable cause and detention?

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Judge.

We would like to submit the affidavit in support of the criminal complaint, the criminal complaint and the

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 pretrial services report. And we feel that those -- those 2 establish both probable cause and justification for 3 detention. 4 THE COURT: Is there any objection by the defense 5 to the court's receipt of the report of pretrial services? 6 Obviously, the complaint with attached affidavit are already 7 a part of the record. MR. NEMECEK: No objection to the receipt of the 8 9 pretrial services report, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. It will be received. 11 Mr. Sullivan, do you wish to submit any other 12 evidence or proffer any additional facts? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you, Judge. 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nemecek, how would you 15 like to proceed on behalf of the defense? 16 MR. NEMECEK: Your Honor, if I could, I'd like to, 17 I guess, question the agent involved in the case relative to 18 the probable cause issue, Agent Hantz. 19 THE COURT: She's here. Agent Hantz, you may be 20 sworn in. If you'll raise your right hand, I'll have the 21 courtroom deputy administer the oath. 22 MONICA HANTZ, of lawful age, a witness called by 23 the Defendant, being first duly sworn, was examined and 24 testified as follows: 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MONICA HANTZ

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

- 1 BY MR. NEMECEK:
- Q. Good morning, Agent Hantz.
- THE COURT: All right, Mr. Nemecek, go ahead.
- 4 BY MR. NEMECEK:
- 5 Q. Good morning, Agent Hantz.
- **A.** Good morning.

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I'd like to start talking a little bit about the investigation in this case.

Now, the affidavit references that there was a particular website on the dark web that was involved where the child pornography was made available; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain what the dark web is?
 - A. It's like we can -- the best way -- easiest way to describe would be like a separate part on the Internet that the only way to access it will be using a browser such as Tor.
 - Q. Okay. And so how does that dark web, I guess, differ from the regular Internet that most people would use?
 - A. So first you have to have a special browser to be able to access those URLs or those addresses. And then you have to know where to go, because there's no good thing like such as Google that we have [unintelligible] to be able to search and find this website.
 - Q. And does the specific browser that you would need or

- the Tor browser that you would need in order to access the dark web, does it provide any sort of, I guess, anonymity to users?
- A. It certainly does.

- Q. Okay. And what sort of anonymity does it provide or how does it do that?
 - A. So Tor, it bounces your connection through multiple servers hiding your true identity or true location. So you could be browsing in Tor from Ohio but you're going to go through servers potentially in Germany or Russia. You keep bouncing until it goes to the destination address or what they call [unintelligible] in between.
 - Q. Okay. And I guess focusing specifically on the darknet site that was at issue in this case, what would a user need to do in order to gain access to that website or whatever the content is that is contained on that website?
 - A. All they need to do is go to that URL or that [unintelligible] address. The landing page or the front page is loaded with these images.
 - Q. Okay. But in order to gain access, would they need to create any sort of account or make any sort of payment?
 - A. Just -- you can get additional access and get even more images or videos if you make that payment.
 - Q. Okay. And I think the affidavit in this case, if I'm not mistaken, indicates that there was evidence that an

- 1 e-mail address associated with Mr. Glowacki had purchased
 2 bitcoin, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And had sent that bitcoin to an address that is associated with this website?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now, the affidavit I believe indicates that Mr. Glowacki registered an account at a virtual currency exchange in November of 2019.

How did you become aware of that?

- A. It came through leads and [unintelligible] that came to us.
- Q. Okay. And the same question as it relates to the two payments that were made from that address, you learned that through leads and --
- A. No, that was confirmed -- once we reach out to the [unintelligible] currency provider, they provide a record associated to Mr. Glowacki and that's where we identify those other payments.
- Q. Okay. And during the investigation in this case, did you do anything to identify the location of where, I guess, the account for that dark web site was created or where the bitcoin was purchased from? Meaning like the IP address or anything else?
- A. So we definitely can see that associated to the bitcoin

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR

(330) 252-6022

- 1 transaction and the purchase that came through the provider.
- Q. Okay. So there was, I guess, confirmation of a particular IP address that was used to create an account?
 - A. That's correct, yes.

- Q. Okay. And what did that, I guess, information reveal?
- A. Didn't give us much [unintelligible] associated to cell phone, so today many of these providers do not for cell phone IPs because they are shared by many users.
 - Q. Okay. And in terms of this dark web website that we're talking about, the darknet site here, you mentioned that, I guess, an individual could get access to additional material if they created an account and paid money; is that correct?

 A. If they -- if they send a payment, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so once somebody would send a payment or create an account to access the site, how would they -- how would the material or the contents of the website, how would they come into, I guess -- how would they be able to view that? Would it just get sent out from the website, or would they have to actually log on to the site and view it?
 - A. That depends on a case-by-case or side-by-side basis.

 On this particular instance, we did not pay for additional access.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So I cannot talk about how the machination on this website actually worked.

- 1 Q. Okay. And so we know that Mr. Glowacki -- or, I guess,
- 2 as the affidavit indicates, Mr. Glowacki would have sent
- 3 payment to this particular website back in December of 2019,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And prior to executing the search warrant, was
- 7 | there any evidence that Mr. Glowacki ever accessed the
- 8 | website?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. And prior to the search warrant, was there any
- 11 evidence that he ever downloaded any child pornography from
- 12 that website?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. During the investigation, did you ever download any
- child pornography from Mr. Glowacki?
- 16 A. No, we did not.
- 17 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any -- whether any other law
- enforcement officers ever downloaded any child pornography
- 19 from Mr. Glowacki?
- **A.** Not to my knowledge.
- 21 Q. And are you aware of whether anybody else, any other
- 22 users or anyone else downloaded any child pornography from
- 23 Mr. Glowacki?
- **A.** Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q. And is there any evidence that Mr. Glowacki ever sent

```
1
       child pornography or made those materials available to
 2
       anybody else?
 3
        A. No, not on my -- not so far.
 4
                 THE COURT: This feels like we're doing a
 5
       discovery conference here.
6
                 MR. NEMECEK: No. I apologize, Your Honor. I'm
7
       just trying to go through the affidavit. I'll move it
 8
       along.
9
                 THE COURT: Well, the affidavit doesn't speak in
10
       any way, shape or form about any allegation that
11
       Mr. Glowacki sent materials to others or did any
12
       peer-to-peer sharing of files. That isn't part of the case.
13
                 MR. NEMECEK: Well, he's charged with receipt and
14
       distribution. I mean, that's what --
15
                 THE COURT: Well, he's charged with possession as
16
       well.
17
                 MR. NEMECEK: I understand. But the receipt and
18
       distribution, I guess the point that I was getting at was
19
       with the making it available, sending it to anybody would go
20
       to the distribution of porn- --
21
                 I'll move along, Your Honor.
22
       BY MR. NEMECEK:
23
        Q. When the search warrant was executed in this case,
24
       would you say that Mr. Glowacki was cooperative with
25
       investigators?
```

1 A. I will say so, yes. 2 Okay. So he didn't make any effort to interfere with 3 the execution of the search warrant? 4 A. No, he did not. 5 And was he arrested at the time --Ο. 6 Α. No. 7 Q. -- that the search warrant was executed? 8 A. He was with us, but he wasn't -- he was there 9 voluntarily. He wasn't in cuffs or -- he was just sitting 10 in the truck with us. 11 Q. Okay. And are you aware how he came into custody as it 12 relates to this case? Did he voluntarily surrender? 13 A. We executed the search warrant, and upon arrival we 14 call everybody out, and he was brought in to us. 15 Q. Okay. So later, after the search warrant, days later 16 is when he was brought in for --17 A. That's correct, yes. 18 MR. NEMECEK: No further questions, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Remind me, did Mr. Glowacki appear by 20 summons or by arrest? 21 MR. SULLIVAN: He was arrested, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 Do you wish to examine, Mr. Sullivan? 24 MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you, Judge. 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Nemecek, do you have

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR

(330) 252-6022

any further questions for Special Agent Hantz?

MR. NEMECEK: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any other evidence or factual information you wish to present or proffer?

MR. NEMECEK: Your Honor, just argument, I guess, as it relates to the issue of detention. Beyond that, no witnesses or evidence, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. The court is going to find for the record, based on the fact that the defense is going to argue the issue of detention only, that there is probable cause to support the charge in the complaint. The case will be bound over to the grand jury for further proceedings.

Mr. Glowacki, what that means is that the case will be presented to the grand jury. The grand jury will then make its own probable cause determination. It may be on the charge in the complaint. It may be on additional charges, depending on how the government presents the evidence to the grand jury.

If the grand jury finds probable cause, then they would issue a document known as an indictment and the case would proceed. If the jury -- grand jury does not find probable cause, the case would either be dismissed or modified in some way. But the matter will be bound to the grand jury.

With those things having been said, let's move on to the arguments of counsel regarding the issue of detention.

Mr. Sullivan, the government bears the burden of proof. How do you wish to argue the matter?

MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, thank you. I'll just make a few brief comments.

As you indicated in the beginning, there is a statutory presumption for detention, and the government's opinion, or it's our position that that presumption has not been rebutted at all during this hearing. There's been no evidence offered that's rebutted that presumption.

While in this case the evidence is that

Mr. Glowacki used a darknet site trying to mask his identity
to download child pornography, also as evidenced from the
complaint as well as the pretrial services report,

Mr. Glowacki has a prior conviction for child pornography
offenses in the state court. He was currently out on
release, post-release control or probation because he was
still under that case where he was convicted of child
pornography offenses.

In addition, Mr. -- which that also increases, again, his sentence potentially up to a 15-year mandatory minimum, which I believe would certainly give Mr. Glowacki an incentive to plea.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, as the pretrial services report indicates, Mr. Glowacki has suffered from depression and some mental health issues, and indeed, after he was -- the search warrant, he was hospitalized briefly because of fear on the part of his parents that he would harm himself. So there's been nothing -- nothing offered that would rebut the presumption, and the government feels that Mr. Glowacki should be detained pending trial. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Nemecek, how do you wish to argue on behalf of the defense? MR. NEMECEK: Thank you, Your Honor. briefly. As noted in the pretrial services report, Mr. Glowacki is 23 years old. He's a lifelong resident of northeast Ohio. He's got strong ties to the community. Prior to his arrest in this case, he was residing with his mother and father. Both of them have indicated their continuing support for him. He does have a history, as the government noted, of anxiety and depression. However, he, you know, on his own volition, has been engaged in counseling at Advanced Psychotherapy Services for over three years at this point. I have spoken with Mr. Glowacki's counselors. They've indicated that he's consistently reported and that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he's demonstrated, you know, commitment to the treatment process.

I understand that there was a prior conviction that he had. However, as it relates to that case, there is no, I quess, allegations or suggestions that he, you know, attempted to abscond or failed to appear at any required court proceedings.

The same with probation, no allegations that he ever failed to report to the PO.

So he's been compliant throughout the course of his supervision on this case -- or on that case.

As testified to in this hearing, he didn't do anything in an effort to obstruct the investigation. He was cooperative with officers and he voluntarily self-surrendered.

So I would submit that there are conditions of release that can ensure his appearance at court, as well as the safety of the community, such as restricting/prohibiting Internet access, you know, at the residence, as well as any sort of electronic monitoring the court would deem appropriate here.

I would submit that with certain conditions in place, there would not be a concern regarding his ability to -- or his determination to, I guess, abscond or commit offenses during the pendency of this case.

1 Thank you, Judge. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Nemecek, do you have background 3 information on Mr. Glowacki's hospitalization? How long was 4 he hospitalized? Did he do that --5 MR. NEMECEK: My understanding is that he reached 6 out to his counselor and indicated that he was struggling, 7 you know, shortly after the search warrant was executed in 8 this case, understandably. And out of an abundance of 9 caution, they, you know, had suggested that he go in on a 10 72-hour psychiatric hold. 11 So they, you know, explained that to Mr. Glowacki. 12 He was compliant and understood. They contacted EMS. 13 came out. And he was, I guess, placed on a 72-hour hold and 14 released, I believe, on Monday, the day before he was -- he 15 made arrangements to surrender on this case. I think it was 16 last Monday. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not clear from Officer 18 Jennings' report whether Mr. Glowacki resides by himself or 19 with his parents. Maybe your client can clarify. 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do currently reside with my 21 parents, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Do they live at the Ralph Avenue 23 address, or is that your own residence? 24 THE DEFENDANT: That is their residence. 25 THE COURT: Pardon?

1 THE DEFENDANT: That is their residence, Your 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Were you living at that address, say, 4 earlier in the year up until the time of the arrest? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I was, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: It appears that the search warrant was 7 executed on March 23. The complaint was filed on March 29. 8 It would appear that the initial appearance occurred -- took 9 place the next day, on the 30th. 10 So am I understanding this correctly, Mr. Nemecek, 11 that Mr. Glowacki was released from the hospital on or about 12 the 29th? 13 MR. NEMECEK: Last Monday, Your Honor. I don't 14 recall the particular date offhand. But it was last Monday 15 he was released. The 29th. 16 THE COURT: All right. Well, the court is 17 obligated, as I mentioned, to make a decision on the issue 18 of detention under the requirements of the Bail Reform Act. 19 That involves an examination of the factors set forth in the 20 statute. And let's go through those now. 21 The government seeks detention under 3142(f)(1), 22 and particularly subparagraph -- pardon me, it's under 23 3142(e)(2), and then subparagraph (3)(E). 24 How's that for an alphabet soup arrangement? 25 But the court makes a decision on the issue of LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

detention under the factors set forth in 3142, subsection (g). So the court is required to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the alleged violation or statutory violation has a minor victim. And that's the allegation in this case, is that there's a minor victim involved in the possession, receipt or distribution of child pornography.

The court is required to consider the weight of the evidence against the person. That is not the weight of the evidence on the underlying charge, but the weight of the evidence that the -- that bond conditions cannot reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant or reasonably assure the safety of the community or other persons.

As I look at that issue, I'm struck by the fact that both the government and the defense have provided the court with minimal arguments, and they instead seem to assert that the facts of the case and the allegations involved in Mr. Glowacki's history as it's been revealed through the pretrial services report of Officer Jennings, do reflect some concerns about whether the defendant would likely be able to comply or likely would comply with the conditions as set forth in a bond here.

The government seems to point to the fact that the defendant was already convicted of a similar type of offense in state court just two years ago and was under court

supervision at the time of the alleged offense in this case, which tend to -- tends to suggest that he's not willing to comply with the requirements of court supervision.

The court must look at the history and characteristics of the person, including character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, community ties, past conduct, substance abuse history and so forth.

There is evidence before the court of a mental health history that is a matter of some concern to the court.

The defendant has put forth evidence showing that he's got family ties and lives with his parents. There's evidence of parental support for him and the opportunity to reside again with his parents. Those are important factors.

One of the factors the court must explicitly consider is whether at the time of the current offense, the person was on probation, parole or other type of release for another similar type of offense -- another type of offense.

So the court must evaluate all those factors and then make a determination on the issue of detention.

The court has received the report of Pretrial

Services Officer Jennings. Officer Jennings has done what
he always does, and that is to compile a history of the
defendant, family ties history and so forth. It confirms

largely what has been proffered by the defense, and contains additional information about the defendant's educational background, employment history and the like.

The record does indicate that Mr. Glowacki has not been employed since July of last year. Given the pandemic, that's not a shocking occurrence. Many people have come to court and have not been able to hold jobs during this period of time.

The report does confirm the mental health history of the defendant and shows confirmation of that history.

There is no history of substance abuse reported to the court.

And there's one prior criminal conviction.

Notwithstanding that amount of information,

Officer Jennings recommends to the court that bond not be

granted in this case. And he -- his conclusion is there are

no conditions or combinations of conditions that could be

put into a bond order that would reasonably assure the

safety of the community or assure the appearance of the

defendant.

So let me turn to my conclusions on these issues.

First on the issue of whether the defendant has rebutted the presumption for detention. The law is quite clear that the defense must come forward with some evidence to show that bond conditions could be successfully put in

place.

The fact that the defendant was -- or is able to return to his parents' house, does have family ties, does have family support, and was indeed not even arrested on the day of the search warrant, but was permitted to turn himself in, that combination of facts is sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption for detention. Because it is some evidence that the defendant could comply with bond conditions.

On the issue of whether bond conditions could reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant, the fact that the defendant has turned himself in and the government has not really argued that the defendant is a risk of flight other than positing that anyone who faces a potential lengthy period of incarceration poses a risk of flight, there's no specific evidence that the defendant poses a risk of flight.

So I conclude the government has not met its burden on the issue of attempting to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant poses a risk of flight.

Which gets us down to the main issue at hand, and that is whether bond conditions could reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community. The government seems to argue that it's Mr. Glowacki's own welfare and well-being that should be of primary concern to the court.

And I'll indicate, quite frankly, that is a matter of great concern to the court. The court sees this as a case in which the defendant faces a charge. He's presumed innocent of that charge. The defendant has every right to stand in court and defend himself on that offense.

Whether he is likely to be found guilty of that offense or not is not a matter that goes into a bond evaluation. But certainly Mr. Glowacki is a human being who has dignity and a right to come to court and to defend himself. And the last thing that I would want to see is a person who would harm himself.

The fact that he voluntarily went and sought mental health treatment, voluntarily submitted to the 72-hour watch and, according to the proffered evidence, is continuing with his mental health treatment suggests to me that he does have a desire to protect himself and to take care of his interests and would not likely harm himself here.

So I conclude that that's not a basis for detention.

So then the only other issue at hand is whether the defendant would likely reengage in similar conduct to what's been alleged in this case or what occurred in the 2019 case and thereby subject the community to potential harm.

I conclude that with the appropriate management of Internet connections and some level of accountability, those issues can be reasonably handled through bond conditions.

So I do conclude the government has not met its burden to show by clear and convincing evidence that bond conditions cannot reasonably assure the safety of the community.

That's a long way of saying, Mr. Glowacki, that I am going to take a chance and release you on bond in this case. And I'm going to take a few moments now and review with you the conditions of bond. So let me pull up those files.

You are going to be released on a \$50,000 unsecured bond. What that means is you are not required to post any cash in order to be released, but you do face a potential to forfeit up to \$50,000 if you do not return to court when required or otherwise comply with the conditions of your release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And let's look at what the conditions of release will be. Some of them are standard conditions that are required to be in every bond order, and they are as follows:

You must not violate any federal, state or local LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

laws while on release.

You must cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample if it's authorized by law and if it's requested from you.

You must advise the court or pretrial services office or supervising officer in writing before making any change of residence or telephone number.

Under the terms of the condition I am going to establish, I am going to require you to reside with your parents at the address on Ralph Avenue. Therefore, you are not permitted by the court's order to make any change in your residence address unless you have prior approval from pretrial services or the court.

And that approval would obviously entail a situation where, say, your parents wanted to move. So I'm not restricting them from moving. If their house is for sale, for example, and they are getting ready to leave, they can do that. But you have to verify that you are still residing with them.

Do you understand what I'm saying?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. They're about to move residences. I'm not --

THE COURT: They are. But your residence is going to be controlled by --

THE DEFENDANT: [Unintelligible]. Okay. I

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, you must appear in court when required and, if convicted, must surrender to serve any sentence that the court may impose.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You are going to be subject to supervision by U.S. Pretrial Services in Cleveland. And before we get off here today, Agent Jennings -- or Officer Jennings, rather, will probably get your phone number so that he can arrange to have a pretrial officer contact you upon your release.

There is going to have to be some verification of the suitability of your residence for pretrial release, so you probably won't get released today, but it should be within another day or two once pretrial services completes its work.

You are to surrender any passport if you have one, and you are prevented and restricted from obtaining a passport if you don't have one. And you may not obtain any international travel documents.

Now, your travel is going to be restricted to the Northern District of Ohio. You may not leave the northern half of this state without prior permission from your pretrial officer or the court.

The court is going to order that you undergo a psychiatric and mental health evaluation, and that you follow through with any treatments that may be found to be necessary, including taking any medication that may be found to be necessary.

Do you understand that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: During this time of your release, you may not possess a firearm, destructive device or other weapon.

You may not -- strike that.

If you have any contact with law enforcement during the time of your release, you are to report that contact as soon as possible after it occurs to your pretrial officer.

The court is going to indicate that you are subject to complying with whatever terms of your probation already exist in Cuyahoga County. So I'm not in any way removing any of those conditions and, in fact, I am adding as our conditions that you comply with whatever conditions may already exist in Cuyahoga County.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: During the time of your release, you will be placed on home detention with location monitoring at the discretion of your pretrial officer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

35 Now, home detention means this: You, generally speaking, are required to be there. But you are allowed to leave the home if you get a job and you can go to work. are allowed to leave home to come to court proceedings. are allowed to leave home to attend to medical treatments, religious services, to meet with your lawyer. But otherwise, generally speaking, you must be at home. And any job that you would take must not involve direct contact with minors. And if you're not seeking employment right now, then that is pretty much a moot point. But you understand what I'm saying? THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, when I say "location monitoring at the discretion of your pretrial officer," they may determine at the outset that they are not going to apply an ankle bracelet, but they do have the discretion under this court's order to monitor your location if they have any reason to think that would be appropriate.

Do you understand what I'm saying?

I do, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: And that's part of the reason why they'll need to verify the suitability of your residence.

Now, here is a key part of your release. And this, again, will have to be verified with your parents.

You are prohibited from accessing any computer,

Internet service provider, bulletin board system or any other public or private computer network or service at any location, including at any work locations, without prior written approval of your pretrial services officer or the court.

That means you may not utilize a telephone that has Internet connection capability. If that's the sort of telephone you've had in the past, you are not going to be able to use that in the future.

It's my understanding that the government has seized the phone that you had at the time of the search warrant. If you need a new telephone, it is going to have to be a flip phone or something that does not contain Internet access.

And your parents will have to verify that they're not going to keep Internet access in the residence. If they can't verify that, then they are going to have to alternatively verify that they are going to password protect any computer or phones that they have, and they'll have to verify with pretrial services that those passwords will have been changed prior to you returning home and that they will not give you those passwords.

Do you understand what I'm saying?
THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do your parents have computers in the

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 residence? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. My mother does currently 3 work from home. And there's a computer upstairs. Well, I 4 don't know how many have actually been returned yet, as 5 every computer was taken during the search and seizure. 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, this is going to 7 take a bit of effort to verify that you cannot get on the 8 Internet. 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 11 THE COURT: I'm a little surprised that you had 12 Internet access upon your Cuyahoga County release. So you 13 just need to understand that this is not state court. 14 Do you understand what I'm saying? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: If I get -- if I get one report that 17 you've had any contact with the Internet, then your bond is 18 going to be revoked and you'll be taken back into custody. 19 Now, I am putting on a part of the conditions of 20 release that you have no contact with minors. There's a 21 fairly detailed description of what that means. But that is 22 going to be a condition of the court's release. 23 All right. Now, I've covered a lot of different 24 issues. Let me seek questions first from defense counsel. MR. NEMECEK: I don't have any questions at this 25

LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR

(330) 252-6022

```
1
       time, Your Honor.
 2
                 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, do you have any
 3
       questions on behalf of the United States?
 4
                 MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, I have no questions, but I
 5
       do have an objection. I would ask you to stay the
 6
       imposition of the order because we will be appealing, but
7
       I'd ask for a stay for us to have the opportunity to appeal
 8
       this to the district judge.
9
                 THE COURT: I don't believe a stay is warranted
10
       under the circumstances, but you certainly may appeal.
11
                 Officer Jennings, you undoubtedly have some
12
       additional points I need to clarify.
13
                 PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your Honor, I think you
14
       have clarified with him for him to be held and we'll check
15
       out the residence and we'll notify the court after the
16
       residence has been verified.
17
                 MR. SULLIVAN: Also, Judge, I just want to just be
18
       clear. So you're denying us the stay to seek the review
19
       from a district judge, you're denying that at this point?
20
                 THE COURT: That's correct.
21
                 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
22
                 THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not denying you the
23
       right to seek a review, I'm just denying --
24
                 MR. SULLIVAN: No, I understand.
25
                 THE COURT: -- the stay for that purpose.
              LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: So you're ordering him to be released while we're reviewing it? You're not allowing us to seek appeal while he's in custody, but you're ordering him to be released while we're seeking that appeal? I just want to make that clear. THE COURT: Well, I think that's fairly clear. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, great. Thank you. THE COURT: However, as indicated, the court is not authorizing the immediate release of the defendant. Pretrial services will need to interact with Mr. Glowacki's parents to find out with some level of detail what their Internet accessing capability is in the home and how they would propose to go about modifying passwords and this defendant's ability to access the Internet through any device in that residence. And, Mr. Glowacki, just to be clear, the restriction I'm putting upon you specifically precludes you from going to a Verizon store, an AT&T store or something like that and getting a new phone that you would be able to gain Internet access with. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think that covers everything that needs to be addressed for purposes of the record. If there's nothing further from the United States LORI A. CALLAHAN, RMR, CRR (330) 252-6022

1 or the defense, that should conclude today's proceeding. 2 MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing from the United States. 3 THE CLERK: Judge, would you ask if he could sign 4 those for him? 5 THE COURT: Yes, let's see that. 6 Mr. Glowacki, typically if you were in the 7 courtroom right now, you would be reviewing these bond 8 documents as I went over them, and then you'd have an 9 opportunity to sign them and receive a set. Obviously, with 10 us not being in the courtroom, we cannot do it that way. So 11 I would like your permission to send the bond documents to 12 Attorney Nemecek with the request that he review them, 13 verify that they say what I've said and then sign them on 14 your behalf and return a set to me. 15 He will then get a set of the bond documents to 16 you as soon as you are released. 17 Do we have your permission to proceed that way? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I accept, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone. Have 20 a good rest of your day. 21 (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded.) 22 23 24 25

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, 4 to the best of my ability, transcribed from a digital 5 audio recording from the record of proceedings in the 6 above-entitled matter. 7 8 s/Lori A. Callahan Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR 9 U.S. District Court, Suite 568 2 South Main Street 10 Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6022 11 12 INDEX 13 CALLED BY: WITNESS: PAGE: 14 MONICA HANTZ Defendant 12 15 16 **EXAMINATION:** PAGE: 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MONICA HANTZ 12 BY MR. NEMECEK 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25